

## Tilburg University

### On fractional demand systems and budget share positivity

Deschamps, P.J.

*Publication date:*  
1990

[Link to publication in Tilburg University Research Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Deschamps, P. J. (1990). *On fractional demand systems and budget share positivity*. (CentER Discussion Paper; Vol. 1990-16). CentER.

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

CBM

CBM

R

8414

1990

90-16

16



\* C I N O 1 2 9 4 \*



No. 9016

**ON FRACTIONAL DEMAND SYSTEMS  
AND BUDGET SHARE POSITIVITY**

by Philippe J. Deschamps

R20

330 45 121

March 1990

**ON FRACTIONAL DEMAND SYSTEMS  
AND  
BUDGET SHARE POSITIVITY**

by Philippe J. Deschamps\*

This note studies the implications of the requirement that the budget shares predicted by a demand system lie between zero and one. It is shown that when homotheticity is not assumed, the only known Engel functions that can meet the requirement are fractional, i.e. ratios of functions of income. Regularity conditions on preferences that guarantee budget share positivity are stated in two cases. It is also shown that in these two cases, share positivity is incompatible with flexibility.

---

\* Institute for Automation and Operations Research, University of Fribourg, CH-1700 Fribourg, Switzerland and Center for Economic Research, Tilburg University, POB 90153, 5000 LE Tilburg, The Netherlands.

## Section 1. Introduction<sup>1</sup>

It is sometimes said that Engel's Law is the most universally accepted proposition in applied econometrics. It is therefore not surprising that many authors have investigated the empirical plausibility of various Engel functions, starting with the classic studies of Working (1943) and Leser (1961). Leser, in particular, found that Engel functions of the form  $q_i = a_i + b_i x + c_i x \log x$  provided the best fit to cross-section data on the quantities  $q_i$  of commodity  $i$  and on income  $x$ . However, if this function is rewritten in terms of the budget shares  $w_i = p_i q_i / x$ , it implies that  $w_i$  is unbounded as  $x$  tends to infinity, unless  $c_i = 0$ . Since the budget shares sum to unity, this means that the previous Engel function must predict some negative budget shares for sufficiently high income levels, unless quasi-homotheticity obtains ( $c_i = 0$  for all  $i$ ). The same observation can be made for Engel functions derived from demand systems of the PIGLOG form (such as the AIDS model), where  $a_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . To the best of our knowledge, this simple fact has never been mentioned in the literature.

In the case of an individual agent, budget share positivity can be guaranteed if the demand functions are derived from the Kuhn-Tucker conditions for utility maximization. It is, however, implausible that the rational representative consumers of Gorman (1953) and Muellbauer (1976) would face corner solutions in their budget allocation problem. Hence, the requirement that a predicted budget share lie between zero and one is a regularity condition of a different nature than the differentiability, monotonicity and concavity of a cost function: whereas the latter conditions must be verified both by an individual agent's preferences and by the preferences of a rational representative

agent, requiring the absence of corner solutions only appears reasonable at the aggregate level (for the representative agent) and on empirical grounds (a demand system that can predict negative budget shares is bound to yield non-sensical simulation results with some data sets). Nevertheless, it is intuitively clear that the positivity requirement is likely to conflict with flexibility, as it is the case with the other regularity conditions (see Diewert and Wales (1987)). The purpose of this note is to make this point more precise, and to investigate which classes of demand systems do in fact guarantee the positivity of predicted budget shares.

In order to achieve this, Section 2 of this paper will review five broad classes of demand systems that have already been characterized in the literature. They include most known forms of Engel functions (e.g. homothetic and quasi-homothetic demands, PIGLOG, PIGL, Translog, and the new functional forms in Gorman (1981) and Lewbel (1987a, 1987b)). In most of these cases, exhaustive characterizations (or taxonomies) exist, which identify the precise functional forms that the Engel functions must take if the demand system satisfies regularity conditions such as adding-up, homogeneity and symmetry. This fact will enable us to limit our investigations to two possible candidate classes. The first one is the class of homothetic demands, which violates Engel's Law and can thus be further excluded as empirically implausible. The second one is the EXP class of demand systems characterized by Lewbel (1987b).

Section 3 identifies sufficient monotonicity conditions on preferences which guarantee the positivity of predicted EXP budget shares (the bounds that will be obtained are in fact tighter than 0 and 1). It will also be shown



that the EXP budget shares are monotonic in income. Section 4 shows that the Minflex Laurent system of Barnett (1983) also implies budget share positivity when that system is globally regular. Section 5 suggests that a fundamental conflict exists between budget share positivity and flexibility. Section 6 concludes.

## Section 2. Some taxonomies of demand systems

In this section, we will use results of Gorman (1961, 1981), Lewbel (1987a, 1987b) and Muellbauer (1975) to identify two classes of demand systems guaranteeing that the budget shares  $w_i$  lie between zero and one. Since all the systems satisfy adding-up ( $\sum_i w_i = 1$ ), it is in fact sufficient to ensure that  $w_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ .

It is possible in many instances to characterize the form of the Engel curves implied by a particular class of demand systems satisfying adding-up, homogeneity and symmetry. This has been done for the following cases:

$$\text{Class 1: } q_i = a_i + b_i x$$

$$\text{Class 2: } q_i = b_i x + c_i f(x)$$

$$\text{Class 3: } q_i = a_i + b_i x + c_i f(x)$$

$$\text{Class 4: } q_i = \sum_{r \in R} b_{ir} f_r(x)$$

$$\text{Class 5: } q_i = \frac{a_i f(x) + b_i g(x)}{a F(x) + b G(x)}$$

where  $q_i$  is the demand for good  $i$ ;  $x$  is nominal income;  $f$ ,  $g$ ,  $F$ ,  $G$ , and  $f_r$  are differentiable functions of income; and  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$ ,  $c_i$ ,  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $b_{ir}$  are differentiable functions of the prices only.<sup>2</sup>

Class 1 is the Gorman polar form, which obviously does not solve the

problem of budget share positivity for all income levels unless  $a_i = 0$  for all  $i$  (in this case the demands are homothetic). Indeed, it implies  $w_i = \alpha_i/x + \beta_i$  with  $\alpha_i = a_i p_i$  and  $\beta_i = b_i p_i$ . Since  $\beta_i$  is homogeneous of degree 0 in the prices, it belongs to a bounded set. Adding-up implies that  $\sum \alpha_i = 0$ . Hence in the nonhomothetic case,  $\alpha_s < 0$  for some  $s$ ; and as  $x \rightarrow 0$ ,  $w_s$  must become negative.

Class 2 has been studied by Muellbauer (1975). He shows that if  $f(x) \neq 0$ , then  $f(x)$  must either be equal to  $x \log x$  (PIGLOG) or to  $x^k$  with  $k \neq 1$  (PIGL). Since neither function implies bounded budget shares and since  $\sum w_i = 1$ , neither class ensures budget share positivity. Homothetic demands are obtained if  $f(x) = 0$ .

Class 3 has been studied by Lewbel (1987a). He shows that  $f(x)$  must be either 0,  $x^k$ ,  $x \log x$ , or  $\log x$ . Again, it is easy to check that none of these functions implies bounded budget shares.

Class 4 has been partially characterized by Gorman (1981). He shows that the rank of  $B = [b_{ir}]$  is at most three. When  $B$  has rank 3, we must have either that  $f_r(x) = x(\log x)^r$  with  $0 \in R$ , or that  $f_r(x) = x^{r+1}$  with  $0 \in R$ , or that  $w_i$  is a linear combination of sines and cosines. Again, neither choice appears to guarantee positive budget shares.

Class 5 has been studied by Lewbel (1987b). He shows that the budget shares can always be written in the following form:

$$w_i = \frac{a_i + b_i f(x)}{1 + b f(x)} \quad (1)$$

where  $f(x)$  must be either 0,  $\log x$ ,  $x^k$ , or  $\tan(k \log x)$  for  $k \neq 0$ . Homothetic demands are obtained if  $f(x) = 0$ ; adding-up implies  $\sum a_i = 1$  and  $\sum b_i = b$ .



If  $b = 0$  in (1), we have  $w_i = a_i + b_i f(x)$ , which is the Class 2 system and does not imply  $0 \leq w_i \leq 1$  as we have seen. If  $b \neq 0$ , the denominator in (1) will vanish at some positive income level when  $f(x) = \log x$  or  $f(x) = \tan(k \log x)$ . If  $b < 0$  and  $f(x) = x^k$ , then  $w_i$  becomes unbounded as  $x^k$  tends to  $-b^{-1} > 0$ . We conclude that when  $f(x) \neq 0$ , it is necessary for  $0 \leq w_i \leq 1$  that  $b > 0$  and that  $f(x) = x^k$  (the case where  $f(x) = x^k$  is called the EXP demand system by Lewbel (1987b)). This proves our claim that among the nonhomothetic systems characterized in this section, only the EXP demands with  $b > 0$  can ensure that  $0 \leq w_i \leq 1$  at all income levels. In fact, when  $b f(x) \geq 0$ ,  $w_i$  in (1) is a convex combination of  $a_i$  and  $b_i/b$ ; it is then necessary and sufficient for  $0 \leq w_i \leq 1$  that  $b > 0$ ,  $a_i \geq 0$  and  $b_i \geq 0$ . We will show in the next section that this is guaranteed, for EXP demands, by regularity conditions on preferences.

### Section 3. EXP demands and share positivity

The following theorem, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 2 below, can in fact be extended to all the fractional demand systems in Class 5.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $f(x) = x^k$  in Equation (1) and if  $w_i$  is a differentiable function of  $x$ , then  $w_i$  is monotonic in  $x$ , i.e. the sign of  $\partial w_i / \partial x$  does not depend on  $x$ .*

**Proof:** Using (1) and letting  $f = x^k$ ,  $f' = kx^{k-1}$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial x} &= \frac{1}{(1 + bf)^2} (b_i f' (1 + bf) - b f' (a_i + b_i f)) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 + bf)^2} (b_i f' - b f' a_i) \\ &= \frac{f'}{(1 + bf)^2} (b_i - b a_i). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The sign of this expression obviously does not depend on  $x$ .  $\diamond$

It is helpful to view EXP as a system derived by means of Roy's identity:

$$w_i = - \frac{\partial \log U / \partial \log p_i}{\partial \log U / \partial \log x} \quad (3)$$

from the following indirect utility function:

$$U(p, x) = ((k+1)x + A(p)x^{k+1}) e^{-B(p)}, \quad (4)$$

where  $A(p)$  and  $B(p)$  are twice differentiable functions of the prices. Homogeneity of  $U$  in  $(p, x)$  requires that  $A(p)$  be homogeneous of degree  $-k$  and that  $e^{B(p)}$  be homogeneous of degree 1.

Following Lewbel, we use the notation  $A_i = \partial A / \partial \log p_i$  and  $B_i = \partial B / \partial \log p_i$ . By Euler's theorem, since  $A_i/A$  is the price elasticity of  $A$  and since:

$$\sum B_i = e^{-B} \sum \frac{\partial e^B}{\partial p_i} p_i = e^{-B} e^B,$$

we must have that  $\sum A_i = -kA$  and  $\sum B_i = 1$ . Using (3) and (4), we may write:

$$\begin{aligned} w_i &= - \frac{x^{k+1} A_i - B_i ((k+1)x + Ax^{k+1})}{(k+1)x + (k+1)Ax^{k+1}} \\ &= \frac{B_i - \frac{1}{k+1}(A_i - B_i A)x^k}{1 + Ax^k}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Equation (5) is a special case of (1) with  $f(x) = x^k$ ,  $a_i = B_i$ ,  $b_i = (B_i A - A_i)/(k+1)$ , and  $b = A$ .

As is quite obvious from Equation (5), it is sufficient for budget share positivity that  $k+1 > 0$ ,  $A > 0$ ,  $A_i \leq 0$  and  $B_i \geq 0$ . The following theorem provides tighter bounds, and a justification based on the monotonicity of preferences.

**Theorem 2.** If  $U$  is increasing in  $x$ ,  $A$  is not increasing in  $p$ ,  $B$  is not decreasing in  $p$ , and  $U$  is homogeneous of degree zero, then either:

$$0 \leq B_i \leq w_i \leq \frac{1}{k+1} \left( B_i - \frac{A_i}{A} \right) \leq 1, \quad \text{or:}$$

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{k+1} \left( B_i - \frac{A_i}{A} \right) \leq w_i \leq B_i \leq 1.$$

**Proof:** In view of  $B_i = p_i \partial B / \partial p_i$  and  $A_i = p_i \partial A / \partial p_i$ , the monotonicity conditions on  $A$  and  $B$  imply  $B_i \geq 0$  and  $A_i \leq 0$ . By (4), the monotonicity of  $U$  in  $x$  implies  $k > -1$  and  $A > 0$ . The fact that  $A_i \leq 0$  for all  $i$  implies that  $k > 0$ ; indeed, if  $k < 0$ , then  $\sum A_i = -kA$  implies that  $A_s > 0$  for some  $s$ , contradicting the assumption. We may now proceed to prove the claimed inequalities.

The inequalities  $0 \leq B_i \leq 1$  are implied by  $B_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$  and  $\sum B_i = 1$ . From Theorem 1,  $w_i$  is monotonic in  $x$ ; since, as we have just seen,  $k > 0$ , we have  $f' > 0$  in Equation (2), and:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sign} \left( \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial x} \right) &= \text{sign} (b_i - b a_i) = \\ \text{sign} \left( -\frac{1}{k+1} (A_i - B_i A) - A B_i \right) &= \text{sign} \left( \frac{1}{k+1} \left( B_i - \frac{A_i}{A} \right) - B_i \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

It is obvious from (5) that  $w_i = B_i$  if  $x = 0$ . Using (6), this implies:

$$\begin{aligned} w_i &\geq B_i \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{1}{k+1} \left( B_i - \frac{A_i}{A} \right) \geq B_i \\ w_i &\leq B_i \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{1}{k+1} \left( B_i - \frac{A_i}{A} \right) \leq B_i. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5) and L'Hôpital's rule, we see that:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} w_i = \frac{1}{k+1} \left( B_i - \frac{A_i}{A} \right).$$

Using (6) again, we then have:

$$w_i \leq \frac{1}{k+1} \left( B_i - \frac{A_i}{A} \right) \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{1}{k+1} \left( B_i - \frac{A_i}{A} \right) \geq B_i$$

$$w_i \geq \frac{1}{k+1} \left( B_i - \frac{A_i}{A} \right) \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{1}{k+1} \left( B_i - \frac{A_i}{A} \right) \leq B_i.$$

Finally, the inequalities:

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{k+1} \left( B_i - \frac{A_i}{A} \right) \leq 1$$

are guaranteed by  $B_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ ,  $A_i \leq 0$  for all  $i$ ,  $\sum A_i = -kA$  and  $\sum B_i = 1$ .  $\diamond$

#### Section 4. Share positivity in the Minflex Laurent system

We will show in this section that the Minflex Laurent (ML) system of Barnett (1983) also satisfies budget share positivity under regularity conditions on preferences. The ML system may be written as:

$$w_i = \frac{\alpha_i + \beta_i x^{1/2} + \gamma_i x^2}{\alpha + \beta x^{1/2} + \gamma x^2} \quad (7)$$

with  $\alpha_i = a_{ii}p_i + \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij}^2 p_i^{1/2} p_j^{1/2}$ ,  $\beta_i = a_i p_i^{1/2}$ ,  $\gamma_i = \sum_{j \neq i} b_{ij}^2 p_i^{-1/2} p_j^{-1/2}$ , and  $\alpha = \sum \alpha_i$ ,  $\beta = \sum \beta_i$ ,  $\gamma = \sum \gamma_i$ .

By inspection of (7), it is seen that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} w_i = \alpha_i / \alpha$  and that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} w_i = \gamma_i / \gamma > 0$ . It is interesting to compare this with the observation in Barnett (1985) that the regular region of the ML system grows with real income. However, budget share positivity is not guaranteed at all income levels unless the coefficients  $a_{ii}$  and  $a_i$  are constrained. In particular, the requirements that  $a_i > 0$  and  $a_{ii} > 0$  are *sufficient* to ensure that  $0 \leq w_i \leq 1$

for all  $i$  (Barnett (1983) shows that these requirements are also sufficient for global regularity). Unfortunately these constraints destroy the flexibility of the ML system, as emphasized by Diewert and Wales (1987).

### Section 5. Share positivity and flexibility

It is clear from the preceding section that a conflict exists between share positivity and flexibility in the ML system. Indeed, the minimality property of the ML system implies that *any* constraints on  $a_i$  and  $a_{ii}$  will destroy flexibility.

An analogous result can be obtained for the EXP system. We have shown in Theorem 2 that the monotonicity of  $A(p)$  and  $B(p)$  is *sufficient* for budget share positivity. In this case, of course,  $A(p)$  and  $B(p)$  cannot be flexible. What has not been shown is that budget share positivity in the EXP system actually *contradicts* the flexibility of  $A$  and  $B$ . This is the topic of the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *If Equation (5) implies  $0 \leq w_i \leq 1$  for all  $0 \leq x < +\infty$ , then neither  $A$  nor  $B$  can be flexible.*

**Proof:** Since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} w_i = B_i$ , we must have  $B_i = p_i \partial B / \partial p_i \geq 0$  for  $w_i$  to be positive at all income levels. This means that the derivatives of  $B$  cannot be equated to those of a decreasing function, contradicting flexibility.

Since  $B_i \geq 0$  and  $\sum B_i = 1$ ,  $B_i$  is contained in a bounded set. Since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} w_i = (B_i - A_i/A)/(k+1)$ ,  $w_i \geq 0$  for all  $x$  implies  $A_i/A \leq B_i$  if  $k+1 > 0$ . So the elasticities of  $A$  are bounded above, contradicting the flexibility of  $A$ . The argument when  $k+1 < 0$  is similar.  $\diamond$



## Section 6. Concluding remarks

This note has examined the implications for budget share positivity of most known (nondifferential) demand systems. It has been shown that among the nonhomothetic systems under consideration, only the EXP demands of Lewbel (1987b) and the ML demands of Barnett (1983) are compatible with the requirement that  $0 \leq w_i \leq 1$  for all income levels. For these two systems, the requirement appears to be incompatible with flexibility. However, monotonicity conditions on preferences do guarantee budget share positivity.

We wish to emphasize that this conflict between positivity and flexibility is not an argument against the specification of demand systems implying positive predicted budget shares. Indeed, a concept of flexibility which neglects the fact that the *observations* on  $w_i$  lie between zero and one obviously misses an important aspect of the data generating process. Our implication is that the (local) concept of flexibility should be revised in the light of the (global) requirements of regularity.

In this respect, an interesting topic for further research is the specification of positive budget share equations that retain sufficient flexibility for most purposes. In particular, Diewert and Wales (1987) have shown that globally regular cost functions exist which satisfy a requirement of "quasi-flexibility". EXP demands might have an advantage over ML demands in this respect, since  $A$  and  $B$  need only satisfy general requirements of differentiability, monotonicity, and homogeneity: they can thus be chosen with some leeway. The simplest choice for  $A$  and  $B$  meeting all requirements (apart from flexibility) appears to be:

$$\log A(p) = \alpha_0 - \sum \alpha_i^2 \log p_i$$



$$B(p) = \beta_0 + \sum \beta_i^2 \log p_i$$

with  $\sum \alpha_i^2 = k$  and  $\sum \beta_i^2 = 1$ . Even this simple choice for  $A$  and  $B$ , however, leads to a highly nonlinear demand system. Moreover, contrary to EXP demands (where luxury goods remain luxuries at all income levels, and similarly for necessities), the regular ML system does not imply monotonic budget shares: it can thus approximate a wider class of income responses. The cost of this is a larger number of estimated parameters.

Finally, we wish to emphasize that we have not exhausted the list of theoretically plausible Engel functions that can be specified. In particular, one could increase the order of the Laurent series expansion in the Barnett model (at the cost of a considerable increase in the number of parameters, and consequent estimation difficulties); or investigate possible taxonomies of more general fractional demand systems than the ones considered here (a fairly ambitious endeavour); or investigate the Engel functions implied by the Fourier demand system of Gallant (1981). (This does not appear straightforward, since the Fourier system is not separable in income, so that the Engel functions are not explicit). These topics, however, are beyond the scope of this paper.

## FOOTNOTES

1. I wish to thank Professor A.P. Barten for suggesting the topic of this paper, and for helpful comments. Any errors are my own.
2. It should be noted that  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$ ,  $c_i$ ,  $a$ , and  $b$  can be characterized further; the reader is referred to the literature for details.

## REFERENCES

- W.A. BARNETT (1983), "New Indices of Money Supply and the Flexible Laurent Demand System", *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics* 1, 7-23
- W.A. BARNETT (1985), "The Minflex Laurent Translog Flexible Functional Form", *Journal of Econometrics* 30, 33-44
- W.E. DIEWERT and T.J. WALES (1987), "Flexible Functional Forms and Global Curvature Conditions", *Econometrica* 55, 43-68
- A.R. GALLANT (1981), "On the Bias in Flexible Functional Forms and an Essentially Unbiased Form: the Fourier Flexible Form", *Journal of Econometrics* 15, 211-245
- W.M. GORMAN (1953), "Community Preference Fields", *Econometrica* 21, 63-80
- W.M. GORMAN (1961), "On a Class of Preference Fields", *Metroeconomica* 13, 53-56
- W.M. GORMAN (1981), "Some Engel Curves", in: *Essays in the Theory and Measurement of Consumer Behaviour in Honour of Sir Richard Stone*, ed. by Angus Deaton, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 7-29
- C.E.V. LESER (1963), "Forms of Engel Functions", *Econometrica* 31, 694-703
- A.S. LEWBEL (1987a), "Characterizing Some Gorman Engel Curves", *Econometrica* 55, 1451-1459
- A.S. LEWBEL (1987b), "Fractional Demand Systems", *Journal of Econometrics* 36, 311-337
- J. MUELLBAUER (1975), "Aggregation, Income Distribution, and Consumer Demand", *Review of Economic Studies* 62, 525-543
- H. WORKING (1943), "Statistical Laws of Family Expenditure", *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 38, 43-56

Discussion Paper Series, CentER, Tilburg University, The Netherlands:

No.	Author(s)	Title
8801	Th. van de Klundert and F. van der Ploeg	Fiscal Policy and Finite Lives in Interdependent Economies with Real and Nominal Wage Rigidity
8802	J.R. Magnus and B. Pesaran	The Bias of Forecasts from a First-order Autoregression
8803	A.A. Weber	The Credibility of Monetary Policies, Policy-makers' Reputation and the EMS-Hypothesis: Empirical Evidence from 13 Countries
8804	F. van der Ploeg and A.J. de Zeeuw	Perfect Equilibrium in a Model of Competitive Arms Accumulation
8805	M.F.J. Steel	Seemingly Unrelated Regression Equation Systems under Diffuse Stochastic Prior Information: A Recursive Analytical Approach
8806	Th. Ten Raai and E.N. Wolff	Secondary Products and the Measurement of Productivity Growth
8807	F. van der Ploeg	Monetary and Fiscal Policy in Interdependent Economies with Capital Accumulation, Death and Population Growth
8901	Th. Ten Raai and P. Kop Jansen	The Choice of Model in the Construction of Input-Output Coefficients Matrices
8902	Th. Nijman and F. Palm	Generalized Least Squares Estimation of Linear Models Containing Rational Future Expectations
8903	A. van Soest, I. Woittiez, A. Kapteyn	Labour Supply, Income Taxes and Hours Restrictions in The Netherlands
8904	F. van der Ploeg	Capital Accumulation, Inflation and Long-Run Conflict in International Objectives
8905	Th. van de Klundert and A. van Schaik	Unemployment Persistence and Loss of Productive Capacity: A Keynesian Approach
8906	A.J. Markink and F. van der Ploeg	Dynamic Policy Simulation of Linear Models with Rational Expectations of Future Events: A Computer Package
8907	J. Osiewalski	Posterior Densities for Nonlinear Regression with Equicorrelated Errors
8908	M.F.J. Steel	A Bayesian Analysis of Simultaneous Equation Models by Combining Recursive Analytical and Numerical Approaches

No.	Author(s)	Title
8909	F. van der Ploeg	Two Essays on Political Economy (i) The Political Economy of Overvaluation (ii) Election Outcomes and the Stockmarket
8910	R. Gradus and A. de Zeeuw	Corporate Tax Rate Policy and Public and Private Employment
8911	A.P. Barten	Allais Characterisation of Preference Structures and the Structure of Demand
8912	K. Kamiya and A.J.J. Talman	Simplicial Algorithm to Find Zero Points of a Function with Special Structure on a Simplotope
8913	G. van der Laan and A.J.J. Talman	Price Rigidities and Rationing
8914	J. Osiewalski and M.F.J. Steel	A Bayesian Analysis of Exogeneity in Models Pooling Time-Series and Cross-Section Data
8915	R.P. Gilles, P.H. Ruys and J. Shou	On the Existence of Networks in Relational Models
8916	A. Kapteyn, P. Kooreman and A. van Soest	Quantity Rationing and Concavity in a Flexible Household Labor Supply Model
8917	F. Canova	Seasonalities in Foreign Exchange Markets
8918	F. van der Ploeg	Monetary Disinflation, Fiscal Expansion and the Current Account in an Interdependent World
8919	W. Bossert and F. Stehling	On the Uniqueness of Cardinaly Interpreted Utility Functions
8920	F. van der Ploeg	Monetary Interdependence under Alternative Exchange-Rate Regimes
8921	D. Canning	Bottlenecks and Persistent Unemployment: Why Do Booms End?
8922	C. Fershtman and A. Fishman	Price Cycles and Booms: Dynamic Search Equilibrium
8923	M.B. Canzoneri and C.A. Rogers	Is the European Community an Optimal Currency Area? Optimal Tax Smoothing versus the Cost of Multiple Currencies
8924	F. Groot, C. Withagen and A. de Zeeuw	Theory of Natural Exhaustible Resources: The Cartel-Versus-Fringe Model Reconsidered



No.	Author(s)	Title
8925	O.P. Attanasio and G. Weber	Consumption, Productivity Growth and the Interest Rate
8926	N. Rankin	Monetary and Fiscal Policy in a 'Hartian' Model of Imperfect Competition
8927	Th. van de Klundert	Reducing External Debt in a World with Imperfect Asset and Imperfect Commodity Substitution
8928	C. Dang	The $D_1$ -Triangulation of $R^n$ for Simplicial Algorithms for Computing Solutions of Nonlinear Equations
8929	M.F.J. Steel and J.F. Richard	Bayesian Multivariate Exogeneity Analysis: An Application to a UK Money Demand Equation
8930	F. van der Ploeg	Fiscal Aspects of Monetary Integration in Europe
8931	H.A. Keuzenkamp	The Prehistory of Rational Expectations
8932	E. van Damme, R. Selten and E. Winter	Alternating Bid Bargaining with a Smallest Money Unit
8933	H. Carlsson and E. van Damme	Global Payoff Uncertainty and Risk Dominance
8934	H. Huizinga	National Tax Policies towards Product- Innovating Multinational Enterprises
8935	C. Dang and D. Talman	A New Triangulation of the Unit Simplex for Computing Economic Equilibria
8936	Th. Nijman and M. Verbeek	The Nonresponse Bias in the Analysis of the Determinants of Total Annual Expenditures of Households Based on Panel Data
8937	A.P. Barten	The Estimation of Mixed Demand Systems
8938	G. Marini	Monetary Shocks and the Nominal Interest Rate
8939	W. Güth and E. van Damme	Equilibrium Selection in the Spence Signaling Game
8940	G. Marini and P. Scaramozzino	Monopolistic Competition, Expected Inflation and Contract Length
8941	J.K. Dagsvik	The Generalized Extreme Value Random Utility Model for Continuous Choice

No.	Author(s)	Title
8942	M.F.J. Steel	Weak Exogeneity in Misspecified Sequential Models
8943	A. Roell	Dual Capacity Trading and the Quality of the Market
8944	C. Hsiao	Identification and Estimation of Dichotomous Latent Variables Models Using Panel Data
8945	R.P. Gilles	Equilibrium in a Pure Exchange Economy with an Arbitrary Communication Structure
8946	W.B. MacLeod and J.M. Malcomson	Efficient Specific Investments, Incomplete Contracts, and the Role of Market Alternatives
8947	A. van Soest and A. Kapteyn	The Impact of Minimum Wage Regulations on Employment and the Wage Rate Distribution
8948	P. Kooreman and B. Melenberg	Maximum Score Estimation in the Ordered Response Model
8949	C. Dang	The $D_3$ -Triangulation for Simplicial Deformation Algorithms for Computing Solutions of Nonlinear Equations
8950	M. Cripps	Dealer Behaviour and Price Volatility in Asset Markets
8951	T. Wansbeek and A. Kapteyn	Simple Estimators for Dynamic Panel Data Models with Errors in Variables
8952	Y. Dai, G. van der Laan, D. Talman and Y. Yamamoto	A Simplicial Algorithm for the Nonlinear Stationary Point Problem on an Unbounded Polyhedron
8953	F. van der Ploeg	Risk Aversion, Intertemporal Substitution and Consumption: The CARA-LQ Problem
8954	A. Kapteyn, S. van de Geer, H. van de Stadt and T. Wansbeek	Interdependent Preferences: An Econometric Analysis
8955	L. Zou	Ownership Structure and Efficiency: An Incentive Mechanism Approach
8956	P. Kooreman and A. Kapteyn	On the Empirical Implementation of Some Game Theoretic Models of Household Labor Supply
8957	E. van Damme	Signaling and Forward Induction in a Market Entry Context



No.	Author(s)	Title
9001	A. van Soest, P. Kooreman and A. Kapteyn	Coherency and Regularity of Demand Systems with Equality and Inequality Constraints
9002	J.R. Magnus and B. Pesaran	Forecasting, Misspecification and Unit Roots: The Case of AR(1) Versus ARMA(1,1)
9003	J. Driffill and C. Schultz	Wage Setting and Stabilization Policy in a Game with Renegotiation
9004	M. McAleer, M.H. Pesaran and A. Bera	Alternative Approaches to Testing Non-Nested Models with Autocorrelated Disturbances: An Application to Models of U.S. Unemployment
9005	Th. ten Raa and M.F.J. Steel	A Stochastic Analysis of an Input-Output Model: Comment
9006	M. McAleer and C.R. McKenzie	Keynesian and New Classical Models of Unemployment Revisited
9007	J. Osiewalski and M.F.J. Steel	Semi-Conjugate Prior Densities in Multi- variate t Regression Models
9008	G.W. Imbens	Duration Models with Time-Varying Coefficients
9009	G.W. Imbens	An Efficient Method of Moments Estimator for Discrete Choice Models with Choice-Based Sampling
9010	P. Deschamps	Expectations and Intertemporal Separability in an Empirical Model of Consumption and Investment under Uncertainty
9011	W. Güth and E. van Damme	Gorby Games - A Game Theoretic Analysis of Disarmament Campaigns and the Defense Efficiency-Hypothesis
9012	A. Horsley and A. Wrobel	The Existence of an Equilibrium Density for Marginal Cost Prices, and the Solution to the Shifting-Peak Problem
9013	A. Horsley and A. Wrobel	The Closedness of the Free-Disposal Hull of a Production Set
9014	A. Horsley and A. Wrobel	The Continuity of the Equilibrium Price Density: The Case of Symmetric Joint Costs, and a Solution to the Shifting-Pattern Problem
9015	A. van den Elzen, G. van der Laan and D. Talman	An Adjustment Process for an Exchange Economy with Linear Production Technologies
9016	P. Deschamps	On Fractional Demand Systems and Budget Share Positivity

P.O. BOX 90153. 5000 LE TILBURG. THE NETHERLANDS

**Bibliotheek K. U. Brabant**



**17 000 0117560 2**